

THE EFFECTS OF ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS ON
THE NERVOUS SYSTEM

by

CHUNG-KWANG CHOU

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

1975

Approved by

Arthur W. Guy
(Chairman of Supervisory Committee)

Department

Electrical Engineering
(Departmental Faculty sponsoring candidate)

Date November 14, 1975

Check/add
✓

9th

(Chou & Guy)

Glasen

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Date: August 1, 1975

We have carefully read the dissertation entitled The Effects of Electromagnetic Fields on the Nervous System

Chung-Kwang Chou

submitted by
in partial fulfillment of

the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

and recommend its acceptance. In support of this recommendation we present the following joint statement of evaluation to be filed with the dissertation.

This dissertation deals with a very difficult and controversial subject concerning the interactions of electromagnetic (EM) fields with the central and peripheral nervous system. It provides a very interesting and complete historical background on the subject and points out some of the problems and weaknesses of past and current work reported in the literature which in part is responsible for the present confusion in international safety standards relating to nonionizing radiation. The dissertation reflects the candidate's firm grasp of the fundamentals and the techniques of the two major but considerably different areas of science: neurophysiology and EM fields. The candidate has applied the most quantitative aspects of each of these areas to focus his attention on this difficult interdisciplinary problem. He outlines the methods of quantitative measurements of the fields both outside and inside of biological subjects exposed to EM radiation and describes in complete and lucid detail his original work on developing new techniques for exposing biological preparations both in vitro and in vivo. These new techniques allowed the candidate to carry out original work that significantly advances the knowledge of the quantitative relationship between nonionizing radiation dosage, temperature and electrophysiological characteristics of peripheral nerve, superior cervical ganglion, and nerve muscle preparations exposed to EM fields. He has shown that with proper temperature and dosimetry control the acute exposure of isolated nerve preparations to high power electromagnetic energy does not produce effects that cannot be produced by a simple application of heat. This is contrary to what many other researchers have shown in the past with similar preparations under less controlled exposure conditions.

One of the most important elements in the dissertation, and a major contribution to understanding of the interaction of EM fields and the mammalian auditory system is the work elucidating the microwave hearing phenomenon which has defied explanation since World War II. In fact, only in the last year has any significant progress been made concerning an explanation of the phenomena and a good portion of that progress is the original work of the candidate reported by the dissertation. The candidate's experiments to determine thresholds of the effect as a function of background noise and his very remarkable first recordings of the cochlear

DISSERTATION READING COMMITTEE:

microphonics associated with the phenomenon is very significant in advancing the knowledge on this subject. The candidate's exceptional ability both within engineering and neurophysiology allowed him to develop the equipment and make the recordings of these important physiological signals that other researchers have sought without success. In summary, we feel that the candidate has written an outstanding dissertation in reporting his new and very exciting research findings.

DISSERTATION READING COMMITTEE:

Arthur W. Hugg

George L. Brongel

Alvin L. Hines

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
LIST OF TABLES	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	v
I. Introduction	1
1.1 Historical Background	1
1.2 Significance of Research	3
1.3 Electromagnetic Field-Biomaterial interaction	5
1.4 Methods of Measurement	7
1.5 Statement of Problem	8
II. Effects of Electromagnetic Fields on Isolated Nerves and Superior Cervical Ganglia	10
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Methods	13
2.2.1 Design of Waveguide Apparatus	13
2.2.2 Calculation of Specific Absorption Rate	19
2.2.3 Experiments	22
2.3 Results	26
2.4 Discussion	28
2.5 Summary	34
III. Effects of Electromagnetic Fields on muscle contraction	35
3.1 Introduction	35
3.2 Methods	36
3.2.1 Tension Transducer	36
3.2.2 Experiments	36
3.3 Results	39
3.4 Discussion	42

	PAGE
3.5 Summary	46
IV. Effects of Electromagnetic Fields on Auditory System	47
4.1 Introduction	47
4.2 Effect of Noise Masking on Threshold of Evoked Auditory Responses	48
4.3 Microwave-induced Cochlear Microphonics in Guinea Pigs	53
4.3.1 Design of a Circular Waveguide for Efficient Energy Coupling into the Guinea Pig Head	56
4.3.2 Experiments on Recording of Microwave-induced Cochlear Microphonics	62
4.3.3 Experiments on Recording of Laser-induced Cochlear Microphonics	68
4.3.4 Experiments on Recording of Piezoelectric Crystal-induced Cochlear Microphonics	70
4.4 Discussion	73
4.5 Summary	76
V. Summary	78
REFERENCES	80
APPENDIX A Physiology of the nerve	88
B Physiology of the ganglion	93
C Physiology of the muscle	96
D Physiology of the auditory system	99
E Derivation of thermal expansion pressure and its application in microwave auditory effect	105
VITA	114

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	PAGE
1.1 Properties of EM fields in Biomaterials	6
4.1 Threshold energy of microwave-evoked auditory sensation in human	49
4.2 Threshold energy of microwave-evoked auditory responses in cats (2450 MHz)	49
4.3 Threshold energy of microwave-evoked auditory responses in cats (918 MHz)	49

▼ LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	PAGE
2.1 Waveguide showing matching material, circulating ports, stimulating and recording assemblies.	15
2.2 Block diagram of instrumentation used to measure complex dielectric constant of the liquid.	17
2.3 Amplitude and phase change of Ringer's solution along slotted line.	18
2.4 Block diagram of instrumentation used to measure VSWR of waveguide.	20
2.5 Apparatus for microwave radiation of isolated nerve in vitro.	25
2.6 Amplitude of compound action potential and conduction velocity of frog sciatic nerve as a function of temperature.	27
2.7 Amplitude of compound action potential and conduction velocity of cat saphenous nerve as a function of temperature.	27
2.8 Amplitude of compound action potential and conduction velocity of cat saphenous nerve exposed to 2450 MHz CW fields.	29
2.9 Compound action potentials of isolated rabbit vagus nerve exposed to 2450 MHz CW and pulsed fields.	30
2.10 Compound action potentials of isolated rabbit superior cervical ganglion exposed to 2450 MHz CW and pulsed fields.	31
2.11 Over heating effect on frog nerve action potentials due to 2450 MHz CW radiation during the time that the circulator was off.	32
3.1 Tension transducer using light emitter diode (TIL 23) and photo resistor (1N2175) for contractile tension measurements.	37
3.2 Spectral characteristics of photoresistor, and light emitter diode.	37

FIGURE	PAGE
3.3 Tension-voltage relationship of tension transducer.	38
3.4 Waveguide showing modified plexiglass chamber for isolate muscle preparation.	40
3.5 Apparatus for microwave radiation of isolated muscle in vitro.	41
3.6 Single twitch tensions of isolated rat diaphragm muscle exposed to 2450 MHz pulsed and CW fields as compared to the effect of temperature.	43
3.7 Tetanus tensions of isolated rat diaphragm muscle exposed to 2450 MHz CW and pulsed fields.	44
4.1 Block diagram of equipment used to assess the microwave auditory effect in the cat.	50
4.2 Piezoelectric crystal transducer for providing bone-conducted acoustic stimuli to the animal.	51
4.3 Evoked responses recorded from medial geniculate body of the cat.	54
4.4 Thresholds of evoked medial geniculate responses as a function of background noise.	55
4.5 Circular waveguide used for coupling energy into a guinea pig's head.	57
4.6 VSWR versus distance from probe to shorted end of waveguide and probe length.	58
4.7 VSWR of the circular waveguide with a guinea pig head inserted, as a function of frequency.	60
4.8 Sagittal heating pattern of guinea pig's head exposed to 918 MHz microwaves in circular waveguide.	61

FIGURES	PAGE
4.9 Horizontal heating pattern of phantom guinea pig exposed to 918 MHz microwave in circular waveguide.	61
4.10 Circular waveguide with a guinea pig head inserted.	63
4.11 Experimental setup for recording microwave-induced cochlear microphonics in guinea pig.	64
4.12 a. Round window responses evoked by single acoustic clicks; click phase is reversed in upper and lower traces.	66
b. Round window responses evoked by a single 918 MHz microwave pulse (10 μ sec pulse width, 1.33 joule/kg averaged absorbed energy per pulse). Upper trace is an expansion of the initial 200 μ sec of lower trace.	66
4.13 Average of 400 CM responses evoked by 918 MHz microwave pulses, 10, 5, and 1 μ sec pulse width, at the same peak power, 10 kW. The averaged absorbed energy per pulse is shown below and to the right of each trace.	67
4.14 Amplitude of microwave induced CM and N_1 , and latency of N_1 , as a function of the averaged absorbed energy per pulse.	69
4.15 a. Round window response evoked by a laser pulse.	71
b. Expansion of the initial 200 μ sec of the above trace.	71
4.16 a. Round window response evoked by a bone-conducted acoustic stimulus generated from a piezoelectric crystal transducer (10 μ sec, 60 volts electric pulse).	72
b. Expansion of the initial 200 μ sec of the above trace.	72
c. Reversal of the CM polarity as the polarity of the electric pulses (10 μ sec, 30 volts) delivered to the transducer is reversed.	72

FIGURES	PAGE
A.1 Myelinated motor neuron showing cell body, nucleus, dendrites, axon, and terminal buttons.	89
A.2 Electron micrograph of myelinated fiber (upper right) and unmyelinated fiber (left).	89
A.3 Schematic and microscopic structure of a nerve.	91
B.1 Schematic diagram showing nervous pathways and synaptic connections in the superior cervical ganglion.	94
C.1 Structure of skeletal muscle fiber.	97
D.1 Cross section of the cochlea.	100
D.2 Diagram of the major features of the central auditory pathway.	103
E.1 Infinitesimal unit element under thermal stress along x axis.	110

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am greatly indebted to my advisor, Professor Arthur W. Guy, for his stimulating thought, guidance, encouragement and patience throughout the course of this study.

I wish to acknowledge Dr. Justus Lehmann of the Department of Rehabilitation Medicine for the use of research facilities and office space. I thank Dr. Akira Ishimaru of the Department of Electrical Engineering for teaching me the electromagnetic theory, and Dr. Orville Smith of the Regional Primate Research Center of the surgical techniques I have learned from him. As a typical bioengineering project, many contributions were from other departments. These included: valuable discussions on nerve and muscle physiology and temperature regulation with Drs. Bertil Hille, W. Glenn L. Kerrick and George Brengelmann of the Department of Physiology and Biophysics; pulsed laser experiments with Dr. Adam Bruckner of the Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics; derivation of thermal expansion pressure with Dr. Ashley Emery of the Department of Mechanical Engineering. It is also a great fortune to have the guidance from Dr. Robert Galambos of the Department of Neurosciences, University of California, San Diego, during the study of microwave auditory effect.

In the Bioelectromagnetics Research Laboratory, many valuable discussions and instrumentation fabrications were from John McDougall and Carrol Sorenson, technical assistances from David Maloney and Carrol Harris, and data drafting from David Shorthill and Michael Medrano. I also owe much to Susan Foster and especially Hali Knuth for their help in preparing this manuscript.

Finally, I want to thank my parents for their encouragement and my wife, Grace, for her patience during my study.

This investigation was supported in part by the Bureau of Radiological Health Grant R01-FD-00646, in part by Office of Naval Research Grant N00014-75-C-0464, in part by National Science Foundation Grant GK-34730 and in part by Social and Rehabilitation Services Grant 16-P-56818/0-13.

I. Introduction

1.1 Historical background

Long before electromagnetic (EM) waves were discovered and described by Heinrich Hertz in 1888, Luigi Galvani in 1791 observed the contraction of a frog nerve-muscle preparation stimulated by a remote spark from an electrostatic machine [1]. Much later, Tesla in 1891 observed the biological effect of high frequency EM radiation on an entire organism. In the same year, D'Arsonval placed his object inside a solenoid that had a high frequency current flowing in its windings, and he observed vasodilatation and hypotension in his subjects [2]. In 1895, Danilewsky observed the effects of high frequency EM fields on a neuro-muscular preparation [3]. During the first 30 years of the twentieth century most of the work being done was connected mainly with diathermy and physiological reactions to the heating effect of EM radiation [4]. After that, the first peak of interest in this problem occurred during the decade from 1930 to 1940 when technological advances made possible the generation of powerful EM fields. During this period, much valuable work was done, mainly on the physical and chemical properties of matter and certain simple biological systems, with very little attention to hazard problems [3]. Research in this area diminished in the early 1940's when World War II started, and was greatly over-dominated by the research on ionizing radiation due to the development of nuclear weapons and of other, peaceful uses of nuclear energy after the war [5].

In 1956, when there was a growing concern over the possible hazards associated with the operation and use of radar and other radio frequency electronic equipment, the United States started a "Tri-Service Program" (Army, Navy, Air Force) to coordinate this EM radiation research. This Tri-Service research program addressed itself essentially only to the

problem of thermal hazard, without any effort on the low level radiation effects. At the end of the Tri-Service program in 1960, United States research in this area decreased to a very low level and remained there until the late 1960's.

The most recent boost of research activity in the United States stems from a combination of several factors. The increasing use and output level of EM emitting equipment as well as the low level radiation effects reported from Russia and East European countries have re-raised concern over the biological effects and potential hazards of EM radiation. Another problem causing concern, described in detail by Don Justesen [6], was a political event which occurred in the Soviet Union. In the early 1960's, U.S. security experts discovered that the Soviets were directing beams of microwave energy at the U.S. Embassy. The major impetus was provided by the activities of the Office of Telecommunications Policy, within the Executive Office of the President, which established the Electromagnetic Radiation Management Advisory Council (ERMAC) to review, advise and make recommendations on potential problems associated with the use of the EM spectrum. After a comprehensive review and analysis of this problem, the ERMAC report called for an increased effort in this area [5]. At present, there are 13 federal agencies supporting this research in the United States, which reflects the high interest and vigorous research activity in this area.

In contrast to the sporadic efforts in the United States, the Soviets have maintained a steady research activity over the past 20 years. Along with the Soviet Union and the United States, Poland, Czechoslovakia, and recently Britain, Germany, and France have also been working on the same problem. A considerable amount of work on low level effects is

reported in Russian and East European literature. Presman [1] and Gordon [7] of the Soviet Union and Marha [3] of Czechoslovakia have well summarized their past research results in their books.

Because of the unresolved differences in reported biological effects and in the exposure and safety standards derived from them, recent international symposiums and conferences (such as the 1973 Warsaw meeting [8], the 1974 New York Academy of Sciences meeting [9], and the 1975 International Microwave Power Symposium [10]) have brought together scientists from different nations. The objectives of these meetings were: to exchange current information about the EM radiation effects, to recommend and stimulate further research needs and approaches, to encourage international cooperation in relevant research, and to consider ways of achieving an international dosimetric standardization and safety standard [8].

Since people became interested in the effects of EM fields, the effects on the nervous system have been a most interesting problem to the investigators not only because this system is very sensitive in reaction to environmental changes, but also because of its tremendous electrical activities. The past interests are reflected in numerous publications on this subject and in the special sessions in the Warsaw and New York meetings.

1.2 Significance of the EM radiation research

A considerable interest has been raised around the world in studying the effects of EM waves on human health. The frequency range receiving the most attention in terms of biological interaction is in the microwave spectrum 300 to 10,000 MHz. This is due to the widespread use of high power equipment in highly populated areas and to the better energy absorption characteristics of tissues in this frequency range [11].

The effects being sought, of microwaves on the biological system, are opposites: 1) medically beneficial effects and 2) harmful effects.

4

At the present time little is known about either. This is illustrated by the fact that at present the maximum recommended safe power density for long term human exposure varies from 10 mW/cm^2 in the United States, to as low as $10 \text{ }\mu\text{W/cm}^2$ in the Soviet Union and Eastern European countries. The former standard was formulated primarily from research results on tissue heating considerations, while the latter was based on research relating to the central nervous system and behavior effects.

Research results conclusively show that for frequencies between 1200 MHz and 24,500 MHz, exposure to power density of 100 mW/cm^2 for one hour or more could have definite thermal effects. At power densities below 10 mW/cm^2 , evidence of pathological change is equivocal. Although some Soviet investigators describe the thermal nature of microwaves, the majority stress non-thermal or specific microwave effects at molecular and cellular levels, in contrast to studies performed in the United States that generally reflect the physiological response of the organism to the thermal burden imposed by microwaves. A considerable body of literature has grown in the Soviet Union on transient functional changes following low dose, less than 10 mW/cm^2 , microwave irradiation studied by conditional response experimentation. The Soviets have strongly and repeatedly stressed that the central nervous system must be considered as being moderately or highly sensitive to radiation injuries. The work in this area has been criticized because of limited statistical analysis of data, inadequate controls, and lack of quantification of results [12].

Although there are several thousand papers in the literature pertaining to the subject, a considerable amount of the reported data is so qualitative in nature that more questions have been raised than answered. Quantitative research is urgently needed from a joint physical and biological science approach to clarify the conflicting research data and resulting conclusions which now exist [13] [14].